1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney	
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8 9	OWEN P. MARTIKAN (CSBN 177104) Assistant United States Attorneys 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7241 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 owen.martikan@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	ES DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	SAIVI MAIVEISCO DIVISION	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR 09-0033 WHA
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
16	v.	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
17	JEFFREY D. SCHINKEL,))
18))
19	Defendant.))
20		
21	On April 14, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for identification of	
22	counsel and a detention hearing. The parties stipulated and the Court agreed that time should be	
23	excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 14, 2009, through May 5, 2009, for	
24	effective preparation of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance	
25	would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking	
26	into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also	
27	agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best	
28	//	

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

CASE NO. CR 09-0033 WHA

interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 1 2 SO STIPULATED: 3 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney 4 /s/5 DATED: May 5, 2009 OWEN P. MARTIKAN 6 **Assistant United States Attorney** 7 /s/DATED: May 5, 2009 8 EDWIN K. PRATHER 9 Attorney for Jeffrey D. Schinkel 10 [PROPOSED] ORDER 11 As the Court found on April 14, 2009, and for the reasons stated above, an exclusion of 12 time from April 14, 2009, through May 5, 2009, is warranted because the ends of justice served 13 by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 14 See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny 15 defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the 16 17 exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 18 19 SO ORDERED. 20 SO ORDERE 21 22 May 6, 2009 DATED: 23 United States 24 25 26 27 28

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO. CR 09-0033 WHA